

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION
STAFF
GEORGE PROAKIS, PLANNING DIRECTOR
VACANT, SENIOR PLANNER
LORI MASSA, PLANNER
DAWN PEREIRA, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
FREDERICK J. LUND, SENIOR DRAFTSMAN

Case #: ZBA 2010-50 **Date:** October 7, 2010

Recommendation: Conditional Approval

PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 199C Highland Avenue

Applicant Name: The Chocolate Tarte, LLC

Applicant Address: 199C Highland Avenue, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Paras Hatziagannis

Property Owner Address:

Agent Name: none **Alderman:** O'Donovan

<u>Legal Notice</u>: Applicant The Chocolate Tarte, LLC & Owner Paras Hatziagannis seek a special permit with design review under SZO §7.11.10 to establish a bakery use with up to 8 seats and special permit under §9.13, parking exception. RC zone. Ward 5.

Zoning District/Ward: RC / Ward 5

Zoning Approval Sought: Special Permit (§4.4.1)

Date of Application: September 14, 2010

Dates of Public Hearing: Planning Board 10/7/10 ZBA 10/20/10

I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. <u>Subject Property:</u> The subject property is a small retail storefront on Highland Avenue. The business, The Chocolate Tarte, has been operating as a bakery since opening earlier this year. The store was established as a specialty food store (bakery) under 7.11.9.3a which is allowed by right under the RC zoning district. It replaced a previous retail use on the site and therefore required no additional parking. Therefore, the store opened after meeting necessary building, fire and safety code requirements. The establishment is a part of a typical block of neighborhood storefronts with no on-site parking.





Page 2 of 6

Date: October 7, 2010 Case #: PB 2009-50 Site: 199C Highland Avenue

2. <u>Proposal:</u> The Applicant would like to add seating for up to eight patrons on the site. The type of food served will remain the same. While the establishment will remain essentially as it has been, the change from an establishment without seating to an establishment with seating moves the use of this establishment from the category of a specialty shop (bakery) to the category of an 'eating / drinking' establishment under 7.11.10. Specifically, the use is a restaurant under 7.11.10.1. It is not a 'fast food establishment' under 7.11.10.3, because it does not meet all four points of the definition of a fast food establishment under 2.2.56 (specifically, does not involve extensive automobile-oriented trips. Therefore, it is a restaurant under 7.11.10.1.

Parking: The application will not change the size of the establishment. The establishment will move from the retail category (1 space per 450 square feet) to the restaurant category (1 space per 110 square feet, or 0.75 spaces per employee & 1 per 4 seats). Using the initial calculation, the initial use required 2 parking spaces (685 square feet / 450 square feet = 1.52). The new use, using seating would require 2.75 spaces (1 employee plus 8 seats), or using the square foot requirement would require six spaces (685 square feet / 110 square feet = 6.23). Therefore, there is an additional requirement of four parking spaces that cannot be achieved on site. Per section 9.13A of the SZO, the applicant is seeking to modify parking requirements for the existing non-conforming structure, as no parking can be provided on site.

- 3. <u>Nature of Application:</u> Uses in the RC district are governed by SZO §7.11. To add seats to this location is a change in use under the SZO, to a use that requires special permit with design review.
- 4. <u>Surrounding Neighborhood:</u> The surrounding area is generally residential in nature with small commercial establishments and the nearby Arts at the Armory on Highland Avenue. This is a typical small commercial block within this neighborhood.
- 5. <u>Impacts of Proposal:</u> The impacts to the neighborhood are minimal. The applicant will allow visitors to sit, thereby extending some visits to the site. Otherwise, the applicant's business will remain as it has been operating.
- 6. Green Building Practices: None
- 7. Comments:

Ward Alderman: Has been contacted but has not provided comments.

Traffic and Parking: Has been contacted but has not provided comments.

Page 3 of 6

Date: October 7, 2010 Case #: PB 2009-50 Site: 199C Highland Avenue



Photo: 199 Highland Avenue

II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT with DESIGN REVIEW and PARKING (SZO §5.1 & §9.13.A):

In order to grant a special permit with design review, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Staff finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit with design review."

The application has met any and all required standards. The applicant has provided a plan that is consistent with the expectations for a change in use in this district, and the application is limited to the addition of seats to the interior of an existing establishment.

3. <u>Consistency with Purpose:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project is consistent with: 1) the purposes of this Ordinance, particularly those set forth in Article 1 and Article 5; and 2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit with site plan review which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those at the beginning of the various sections.""

Page 4 of 6

Date: October 7, 2010 Case #: PB 2009-50 Site: 199C Highland Avenue

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to conserving the value of land and buildings and encouraging the most appropriate use of land throughout the City. The proposal is also consistent with the purposes of the applicable sections.

The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RC district. The districts is designed to establish and preserve "a district for multi-family residential and other compatible uses which are of particular use and convenience to the residents of this district."

The expansion of this business helps to achieve this goal and allow for the expansion of a unique business in the City. The applicant is operating a storefront in a block of stores that has been on this location for many years. The block is surrounded by and helps to serve an adjacent residential neighborhood.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the existing natural features of the site and is compatible with the characteristics of the surrounding area, and that the scale, massing and detailing of the buildings are compatible with those prevalent in the surrounding area".

There would be not change to the structure or site as a result of this application.

5. <u>Environmental Impacts:</u> "The proposed use, structure or activity will not constitute an adverse impact on the surrounding area resulting from: 1) excessive noise, level of illumination, glare, dust, smoke, or vibration which are higher than levels now experienced from uses permitted in the surrounding area; 2) emission of noxious or hazardous materials or substances; 3) pollution of water ways or ground water; or 4) transmission of signals that interfere with radio or television reception."

The application will create no change to any environmental impact on the neighborhood.

6. <u>Design Review:</u> The Applicant must make a positive determination that the application is in conformance with the design guidelines for residence zones under SZO 5.1.5B.

The application makes no changes to the building presence, building entrances, bay widths, materials, roofs, colors, parking areas and utility equipment. The building remains as a single story retail block on Highland Avenue with no external design changes.

7. <u>Parking</u>: Parking relief must not cause detriment to the surrounding neighborhood through any of the following applicable criteria: 1) increase in traffic volumes; increased traffic congestion or queuing of vehicles; change in the type of traffic; change in traffic patters; reduction on street parking; unsafe conflict of motor vehicle and pedestrian traffic.

The application will not make any changes to parking design or layout. The provision of tables may cause patrons to remain in the neighborhood, and those patrons that arrive by car may stay for longer than they previously would do. Highland Avenue provides adequate 2-hour on-street parking to support the visitors to neighborhood residences and businesses. The addition of tables should cause no detrimental impact on the neighborhood.

Date: October 7, 2010 Case #: PB 2009-50 Site: 199C Highland Avenue

III. RECOMMENDATION

Special Permit with Site Plan Review under §5.1 & §9.13A

Based on the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMIT with SITE PLAN REVIEW.**

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
1	Approval is for change in use category for use under 7.11.10.3.		BP/CO	Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	Sep 14, 2010	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	March 21, 2009 (9/14/10)	Plan submitted to OSPCD			
	Any changes to the approved floor plans that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention		CO	FP	
	Bureau's requirements.				
3	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five		Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final		off		
	inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the				
	proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans				
	and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.				
	to this approval.	to this approval.			

Page 6 of 6

Date: October 7, 2010 Case #: PB 2009-50 Site: 199C Highland Avenue



199 Highland Avenue